

Personal Communications Services Technology Field Trials

Jeff Wepman



**U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
William M. Daley, Secretary**

Larry Irving, Assistant Secretary
for Communications and Information

September 1998

PRODUCT DISCLAIMER

Certain commercial equipment, instruments, or materials are identified in this paper to specify adequately the technical aspects of the reported results. In no case does such identification imply recommendation or endorsement by the National Telecommunications and Information Administration, nor does it imply that the material or equipment identified is necessarily the best available for the purpose. Furthermore, statements from the manufacturers that participated in the field trials are included at the end of each relevant section. The manufacturer's statements represent the opinions of the individual corporations only and in no case imply recommendation or endorsement by the National Telecommunications and Information Administration.

CONTENTS

	Page
PRODUCT DISCLAIMER	iii
FIGURES	vii
1. INTRODUCTION	2
2. CELL SITE CONFIGURATION	6
3. TAG 5 (PCS 1900) TESTING	11
3.1 TAG 5 Test System Configuration	11
3.2 Calibration	12
3.3 Area Coverage Testing	13
3.3.1 TMCO Area Coverage Data	13
3.3.2 WCO Area Coverage Data.....	17
3.3.3 GMM Area Coverage Data.....	21
3.4 Handoff Testing	21
3.5 Interference Testing	24
3.6 Voice Quality	26
3.6.1 Quasi-stationary Measurements.....	26
3.6.2 Mean Opinion Score Assessment	29
3.6.3 Expert Listener Assessment.....	34
3.6.4 Voice Quality Handoff Measurements	35
3.7 Manufacturers' Statements	35
3.7.1 Motorola, Inc.	36
3.7.2 Northern Telecom, Inc.	36
4. TAG 2 (IS-95-BASED CDMA SYSTEM) TESTING	38
4.1 TAG 2 Test System Configuration	38
4.1.1 Simulated Interference.....	38
4.1.2 Markov Calls.....	39
4.2 Calibration	40
4.3 Area Coverage Testing	40
4.3.1 TMCO Area Coverage Data	41
4.3.2 WCO Area Coverage Data.....	45
4.4 Handoff Testing	49
4.5 Interference Testing	50
4.6 Voice Quality	50
4.6.1 Quasi-stationary Measurements.....	50
4.6.2 Mean Opinion Score Assessment	51
4.6.3 Expert Listener Assessment.....	58
4.6.4 Voice Quality Handoff Measurements	59
4.7 Manufacturer's Statement.....	60
5. TAG 4 (IS-136-BASED SYSTEM) TESTING	62
5.1 TAG 4 Test System Configuration	62
5.2 Calibration	62
5.3 Area Coverage Testing	63
5.3.1 TMCO Area Coverage Data	65

CONTENTS (cont'd)

	Page
5.3.2 WCO Area Coverage Data.....	67
5.4 Handoff Testing	71
5.5 Interference Testing	74
5.6 Voice Quality	75
5.6.1 Quasi-stationary Measurements.....	75
5.6.2 Mean Opinion Score Assessment	77
5.6.3 Expert Listener Assessment.....	83
5.6.4 Voice Quality Handoff Measurements	85
5.7. Manufacturers' Statements	85
5.7.1 AT&T Network Wireless Systems	85
5.7.2 Ericsson, Inc.....	86
5.7.3 Nokia Mobile Phones.....	87
6. TAG 7 (WIDEBAND CDMA) TESTING	88
6.1 TAG 7 Test System Configuration	88
6.2 Calibration	88
6.3 Area Coverage Testing	89
6.3.1 TMCO Area Coverage Data	89
6.3.2 WCO Area Coverage Data.....	90
6.4 Handoff Testing	96
6.5 Interference Testing	96
6.6 Voice Quality	96
6.6.1 Quasi-stationary Measurements.....	96
6.6.2 Mean Opinion Score Assessment	97
6.6.3 Expert Listener Assessment.....	103
6.6.4 Voice Quality Handoff Measurements	104
6.7 Manufacturer's Statement.....	104
7. TAG 3 (PACS) TESTING	105
7.1 TAG 3 Test System Configuration	105
7.2 Calibration	105
7.3 Area Coverage Testing	107
7.3.1 Low-Tier Microcell Site 1 Area Coverage Data.....	108
7.3.2 Low-Tier Microcell Site 3 Area Coverage Data.....	109
7.3.3 Low-Tier Microcell Site 9 Area Coverage Data.....	110
7.3.4 Low-Tier Microcell Site 11 Area Coverage Data.....	114
7.3.5 Link Balance Analysis for Combined Microcell Sites	118
7.4 Handoff Testing	122
7.5 Interference Testing	123
7.6 Voice Quality	125
7.6.1 Quasi-stationary Measurements.....	125
7.6.2 Mean Opinion Score Assessment	126
7.6.3 Expert Listener Assessment.....	132
7.6.4 Voice Quality Handoff Measurements	133

CONTENTS (cont'd)

	Page
7.7. Manufacturers' Statements	133
7.7.1 Motorola, Inc.	133
7.7.2 NEC.....	134
7.7.3 Panasonic	134
8. TAG 1 (COMPOSITE CDMA/TDMA SYSTEM) TESTING.....	136
8.1 TAG 1 Test System Configuration	136
8.2 Calibration	136
8.3 Area Coverage Testing	138
8.3.1 TMCO Area Coverage Data	140
8.3.2 WCO Area Coverage Data.....	142
8.3.3 GMM Area Coverage Data.....	144
8.3.4 Low-Tier Microcell Site 3 Area Coverage Data.....	146
8.4 Handoff Testing	149
8.5 Interference Testing	150
8.6 Voice Quality	152
8.6.1 Quasi-stationary Measurements.....	152
8.6.2 Mean Opinion Score Assessment	154
8.6.3 Expert Listener Assessment.....	159
8.6.4 Voice Quality Handoff Measurements	160
8.7. Manufacturer's Statement.....	160
9. SUMMARY	162
10. REFERENCES	163

FIGURES

	Page
Figure 2.1. High-tier cell site locations in the Boulder, Colorado, area.....	7
Figure 2.2. Low-tier microcell site locations in the Boulder, Colorado, area.....	9
Figure 3.1. Block diagram of the TAG 5 test system configuration.	11
Figure 3.2. Downlink Rxlev vs. distance (TAG 5, TMCO cell).	14
Figure 3.3. Probability density function for Rxlev (TAG 5, TMCO cell).....	15
Figure 3.4. Uplink Rxlev vs. downlink Rxlev (TAG 5, TMCO cell).....	15
Figure 3.5. Average Rxqual vs. Rxlev for both the uplink and downlink (TAG 5, TMCO cell).	16
Figure 3.6. Uplink Rxqual vs. Rxlev (TAG 5, TMCO cell).....	16
Figure 3.7. Downlink Rxqual vs. Rxlev (TAG 5, TMCO cell).....	17
Figure 3.8. Downlink Rxlev vs. distance (TAG 5, WCO cell).	18
Figure 3.9. Probability density function for Rxlev (TAG 5, WCO cell).....	18
Figure 3.10. Uplink Rxlev vs. downlink Rxlev (TAG 5, WCO cell).....	19
Figure 3.11. Average Rxqual vs. Rxlev for both the uplink and downlink (TAG 5, WCO cell).....	19
Figure 3.12. Uplink Rxqual vs. Rxlev (TAG 5, WCO cell).....	20
Figure 3.13. Downlink Rxqual vs. Rxlev (TAG 5, WCO cell).....	20
Figure 3.14. Downlink Rxlev vs. distance (TAG 5, GMM cell).....	21
Figure 3.15. Probability density function for Rxlev (TAG 5, GMM cell).....	22
Figure 3.16. Average Rxqual vs. Rxlev for both the uplink and downlink (TAG 5, GMM cell).....	22
Figure 3.17. Histogram of change in Rxlev during handoff (TAG 5).....	24
Figure 3.18. Histograms of change in Rxlev for (a) intercell and (b) intracell handoffs (TAG 5).....	25
Figure 3.19. Histogram of change in Rxqual during handoff (TAG 5).....	26
Figure 3.20. Histograms of change in Rxqual for (a) intercell and (b) intracell handoffs (TAG 5).....	27
Figure 3.21. Histogram of time between successive handoffs (TAG 5)	28
Figure 3.22. Average Rxqual vs. carrier-to-interference ratio (C/I) for co-channel and adjacent channel interference (TAG 5)	28
Figure 3.23. Quasi-stationary measurement locations and vehicular speed used at each location for TAG 5.....	30
Figure 3.24. Histogram of mean opinion scores (MOS's; TAG 5, WCO cell).....	31
Figure 3.25. Mean opinion score (MOS) vs. Rxlev (TAG 5).....	32
Figure 3.26. Mean opinion score (MOS) vs. Rxqual (TAG 5).....	32
Figure 3.27. Percent acceptability vs. expert listener rating (TAG 5).....	35
Figure 4.1. Block diagram of the TAG 2 test system configuration.	39
Figure 4.2. Downlink received signal strength (RSS) vs. distance (TAG 2, TMCO cell).....	42
Figure 4.3. Histogram of downlink received signal strength (RSS; TAG 2, TMCO cell).....	42
Figure 4.4. Histogram of uplink transmit power (TAG 2, TMCO cell).....	43
Figure 4.5. Uplink frame error rate (FER) vs. Qualcomm Telecommunications Switching Office (QTSO) target E_b/N_0 (TAG 2, TMCO cell).....	44

FIGURES (cont'd)

	Page
Figure 4.6. Histogram of uplink frame error rate (FER; TAG 2, TMCO cell).	44
Figure 4.7. Histogram of downlink frame error rate (FER; TAG 2, TMCO cell).	45
Figure 4.8. Downlink received signal strength (RSS) vs. distance (TAG 2, WCO cell).	46
Figure 4.9. Histogram of downlink received signal strength (RSS; TAG 2, WCO cell).	47
Figure 4.10. Histogram of uplink transmit power (TAG 2, WCO cell).	47
Figure 4.11. Uplink frame error rate (FER) vs. Qualcomm Telecommunications Switching Office (QTSO) target E_b/N_0 (TAG 2, WCO cell).	48
Figure 4.12. Histogram of uplink frame error rate (FER; TAG 2, WCO cell).	48
Figure 4.13. Histogram of downlink frame error rate (FER; TAG 2, WCO cell).	49
Figure 4.14. Quasi-stationary measurement locations and vehicular speed used at each location for TAG 2.	52
Figure 4.15. Histogram of mean opinion scores (MOS's) for all voice segments (TAG 2).	54
Figure 4.16. Histogram of mean opinion scores (MOS's) for the uplink (TAG 2).	54
Figure 4.17. Histogram of mean opinion scores (MOS's) for the downlink (TAG 2).	55
Figure 4.18. Mean opinion score (MOS) vs. average downlink received signal strength (RSS; TAG 2).	55
Figure 4.19. Mean opinion score (MOS) vs. uplink frame error rate (FER; TAG 2).	56
Figure 4.20. Mean opinion score (MOS) vs. downlink frame error rate (FER; TAG 2).	57
Figure 4.21. Percent acceptability vs. expert listener rating (TAG 2).	59
Figure 5.1. Block diagram of the TAG 4 test system configuration.	63
Figure 5.2. Downlink received signal strength (RSS) vs. distance (TAG 4, TMCO cell).	66
Figure 5.3. Histogram of downlink received signal strength (RSS) values (TAG 4, TMCO cell).	66
Figure 5.4. Histogram of uplink received signal strength (RSS) values (TAG 4, TMCO cell).	67
Figure 5.5. Downlink received signal strength (RSS) vs. uplink RSS (TAG 4, TMCO cell).	68
Figure 5.6. Histogram of difference between downlink and uplink received signal strength (RSS; TAG 4, TMCO cell).	68
Figure 5.7. Uplink frame error rate (FER) vs. received signal strength (RSS; TAG 4, TMCO cell).	69
Figure 5.8. Downlink received signal strength (RSS) vs. distance (TAG 4, WCO cell, Ericsson mobile unit).	70
Figure 5.9. Downlink received signal strength (RSS) vs. distance (TAG 4, WCO cell, Nokia mobile unit).	70
Figure 5.10. Histogram of uplink received signal strength (RSS) values (TAG 4, WCO cell).	71
Figure 5.11. Histogram of difference between downlink and uplink received signal strength (RSS; TAG 4, WCO cell, Ericsson mobile unit).	72
Figure 5.12. Histogram of difference between downlink and uplink received signal strength (RSS; TAG 4, WCO cell, Nokia mobile unit).	72

FIGURES (cont'd)

	Page
Figure 5.13. Uplink frame error rate (FER) vs. received signal strength (RSS; TAG 4, WCO cell).....	73
Figure 5.14. Histogram of change in received signal strength (RSS) during handoff (TAG 4).....	74
Figure 5.15. Histogram of time between successive handoffs (TAG 4)	75
Figure 5.16. Average bit error rate (BER) class vs. carrier-to-interference ratio (C/I) for the co-channel interference case (TAG 4).....	76
Figure 5.17. Average bit error rate (BER) class vs. carrier-to-interference ratio (C/I) for the adjacent channel interference case (TAG 4)	77
Figure 5.18. Quasi-stationary measurement locations and vehicular speed used at each location for TAG 4.....	78
Figure 5.19. Histogram of mean opinion scores (MOS's) for all voice segments (TAG 4)	80
Figure 5.20. Histogram of mean opinion scores (MOS's) for the uplink (TAG 4).....	80
Figure 5.21. Histogram of mean opinion scores (MOS's) for the downlink (TAG 4).....	81
Figure 5.22. Mean opinion score (MOS) vs. average received signal strength (RSS; TAG 4).	82
Figure 5.23. Mean opinion score (MOS) vs. uplink bit error rate (BER; TAG 4)	82
Figure 5.24. Mean opinion score (MOS) vs. downlink bit error rate (BER; TAG 4)	83
Figure 5.25. Percent acceptability vs. expert listener rating (TAG 4).....	84
Figure 6.1. Downlink received signal strength (RSS) vs. distance (TAG 7, TMCO cell).....	90
Figure 6.2. Histogram of uplink received signal strength (RSS) values (TAG 7, TMCO cell).	91
Figure 6.3. Histogram of downlink received signal strength (RSS) values (TAG 7, TMCO cell).	91
Figure 6.4. Histogram of uplink bit error rate (BER; TAG 7, TMCO cell).	92
Figure 6.5. Histogram of downlink bit error rate (BER; TAG 7, TMCO cell).	92
Figure 6.6. Downlink received signal strength (RSS) vs. distance (TAG 7, WCO cell).....	93
Figure 6.7. Histogram of uplink received signal strength (RSS; TAG 7, WCO cell).	93
Figure 6.8. Histogram of downlink received signal strength (RSS; TAG 7, WCO cell).	94
Figure 6.9. Histogram of uplink bit error rate (BER; TAG 7, WCO cell).	94
Figure 6.10. Histogram of downlink bit error rate (BER; TAG 7, WCO cell).	95
Figure 6.11. Bit error rate (BER) vs. uplink and downlink received signal strength (RSS) for the TMCO and WCO cells (TAG 7).....	95
Figure 6.12. Quasi-stationary measurement locations for TAG 7.....	99
Figure 6.13. Histogram of mean opinion scores (MOS's; TAG 7)	100
Figure 6.14. Histogram of uplink mean opinion scores (MOS's; TAG 7)	100
Figure 6.15. Histogram of downlink mean opinion scores (MOS's; TAG 7)	101
Figure 6.16. Mean opinion score (MOS) vs. average received signal strength (RSS; TAG 7).....	101
Figure 6.17. Mean opinion score (MOS) vs. average uplink bit error rate (BER; TAG 7).....	102

FIGURES (cont'd)

	Page
Figure 6.18. Mean opinion score (MOS) vs. average downlink bit error rate (BER; TAG 7).....	102
Figure 6.19. Percent acceptability vs. expert listener rating (TAG 7).....	103
Figure 7.1. Block diagram of the TAG 3 test system configuration.....	106
Figure 7.2. Downlink received signal strength (RSS) vs. distance (TAG 3, microcell site 1).....	108
Figure 7.3. Histogram of downlink received signal strength (RSS; TAG 3, microcell site 1).....	109
Figure 7.4. Histogram of downlink word error rate (WER; TAG 3, microcell site 1).....	110
Figure 7.5. Histogram of uplink received signal strength (RSS; TAG 3, microcell site 1).....	111
Figure 7.6. Histogram of uplink word error rate (WER; TAG 3, microcell site 1).....	111
Figure 7.7. Downlink received signal strength (RSS) vs. distance (TAG 3, microcell site 3).....	112
Figure 7.8. Histogram of downlink received signal strength (RSS; TAG 3, microcell site 3).....	112
Figure 7.9. Histogram of downlink word error rate (WER; TAG 3, microcell site 3).....	113
Figure 7.10. Histogram of uplink received signal strength (RSS; TAG 3, microcell site 3).....	113
Figure 7.11. Histogram of uplink word error rate (WER; TAG 3, microcell site 3).....	114
Figure 7.12. Downlink received signal strength (RSS) vs. distance (TAG 3, microcell site 9).....	114
Figure 7.13. Histogram of downlink received signal strength (RSS; TAG 3, microcell site 9).....	115
Figure 7.14. Histogram of downlink word error rate (WER; TAG 3, microcell site 9).....	116
Figure 7.15. Histogram of uplink received signal strength (RSS; TAG 3, microcell site 9).....	116
Figure 7.16. Histogram of uplink word error rate (WER; TAG 3, microcell site 9).....	117
Figure 7.17. Downlink received signal strength (RSS) vs. distance (TAG 3, microcell site 11).....	117
Figure 7.18. Histogram of downlink received signal strength (RSS; TAG 3, microcell site 11).....	118
Figure 7.19. Histogram of downlink word error rate (WER; TAG 3, microcell site 11).....	119
Figure 7.20. Histogram of uplink received signal strength (RSS; TAG 3, microcell site 11).....	119
Figure 7.21. Histogram of uplink word error rate (WER; TAG 3, microcell site 11).....	120
Figure 7.22. Average uplink word error rate (WER) vs. received signal strength (RSS; TAG 3).....	120
Figure 7.23. Average downlink word error rate (WER) vs. received signal strength (RSS; TAG 3).....	121
Figure 7.24. Histogram of difference between downlink and uplink received signal strength (RSS; TAG 3).....	121

FIGURES (cont'd)

	Page
Figure 7.25. Quasi-stationary measurement locations for TAG 3.....	127
Figure 7.26. Histogram of mean opinion scores (MOS's) for all voice segments (TAG 3).....	128
Figure 7.27. Histogram of uplink mean opinion scores (MOS's; TAG 3).....	128
Figure 7.28. Histogram of downlink mean opinion scores (MOS's; TAG 3).....	129
Figure 7.29. Mean opinion score (MOS) vs. average received signal strength (RSS; TAG 3).....	130
Figure 7.30. Mean opinion score (MOS) vs. average uplink word error rate (WER; TAG 3).....	131
Figure 7.31. Mean opinion score (MOS) vs. average downlink word error rate (WER; TAG 3).....	131
Figure 7.32. Percent acceptability vs. expert listener rating (TAG 3).....	132
Figure 8.1. Block diagram of the TAG 1 test system configuration.	137
Figure 8.2. Average downlink bit error rate (BER) vs. received signal strength (RSS) for all high-tier cells and the low-tier microcell (TAG 1).....	139
Figure 8.3. Downlink received signal strength (RSS) vs. distance (TAG 1, TMCO cell).....	140
Figure 8.4. Histogram of downlink received signal strength (RSS; TAG 1, TMCO cell).....	141
Figure 8.5. Histogram of average downlink bit error rate (BER; TAG 1, TMCO cell).....	141
Figure 8.6. Histogram of average uplink bit error rate (BER; TAG 1, TMCO cell).....	142
Figure 8.7. Histogram of difference between average downlink and uplink bit error rate (BER; TAG 1, TMCO cell).....	143
Figure 8.8. Downlink received signal strength (RSS) vs. distance (TAG 1, WCO cell).....	143
Figure 8.9. Histogram of downlink received signal strength (RSS; TAG 1, WCO cell).....	144
Figure 8.10. Histogram of average downlink bit error rate (BER; TAG 1, WCO cell).....	145
Figure 8.11. Downlink received signal strength (RSS) vs. distance (TAG 1, GMM cell)	145
Figure 8.12. Histogram of downlink received signal strength (RSS; TAG 1, GMM cell)	146
Figure 8.13. Histogram of average downlink bit error rate (BER; TAG 1, GMM cell)	147
Figure 8.14. Downlink received signal strength (RSS) vs. distance (TAG 1, microcell site 3).....	148
Figure 8.15. Histogram of downlink received signal strength (RSS; TAG 1, microcell site 3).....	148
Figure 8.16. Histogram of average downlink bit error rate (BER; TAG 1, microcell site 3).....	149
Figure 8.17. Histogram of average received signal strength (RSS) values taken during all handoff measurement runs (TAG 1).....	150
Figure 8.18. Average downlink bit error rate (BER) vs. adjacent channel carrier-to-interference ratio (C/I; TAG 1).....	151
Figure 8.19. Quasi-stationary measurement locations and vehicular speed used at each location for TAG 1.....	153
Figure 8.20. Histogram of mean opinion scores (MOS's) for all voice segments (TAG 1)	156
Figure 8.21. Histogram of mean opinion scores (MOS's) for the uplink (TAG 1).....	156
Figure 8.22. Histogram of mean opinion scores (MOS's) for the downlink (TAG 1).....	157

FIGURES (cont'd)

	Page
Figure 8.23. Mean opinion score (MOS) vs. average received signal strength (RSS; TAG 1).....	157
Figure 8.24. Mean opinion score (MOS) vs. uplink bit error rate (BER; TAG 1).....	158
Figure 8.25. Mean opinion score (MOS) vs. downlink bit error rate (BER; TAG 1).....	158
Figure 8.26. Percent acceptability vs. expert listener rating (TAG 1).....	159